UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,897	06/07/2005	Ana Isabel Sanz Molinero	BJS-4982-5	8027
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 09/30/200 NDERHYE. PC	8	EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			BAUM, STUART F	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/537,897	SANZ MOLINERO ISABEL	O, ANA			
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STUART F. BAUM	1638				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>STUART F. BAUM</u> .	(3) <u>MEETA MISTRY</u> .					
(2) <u>B.J. SADOFF</u> .	(4) <u>ANNE MARIE GRUNBE</u>	ERG.				
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>as an example, claim 1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Office's rationale for sending out the non-responsive amendment was discussed. Potential claim amendments were discussed that would satisfy the 112 1st paragraph issues and would distinguish Applicants' invention from the prior art  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Stuart F. Baum/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if require					